UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,262	09/21/2005	Iiro Hietanen	800186US	5683
Ryan Mason &	7590 04/28/200 Lewis	EXAMINER		
90 Forest Aven	ue	SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B		
Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/522,262	HIETANEN, IIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael B. Shingleton	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1224(2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 36-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 52-72 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 36-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the	n from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the E				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-25-2005, 12-04-2006, 9-18-2007, 7-29-	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 2008. 6) Other:	te			



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I species A in the reply filed on 12-24-2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims are directed toward a single general concept and that the species are not species. This is not found persuasive because there is a lack of general inventive concept for the reasons as presented previously and note that the device as claimed can be made by a materially different process. As to the species these are mutually exclusive species and accordingly are themselves patent distinct from each other contrary to applicant's beliefs.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada US 6,703,689 B2.

Wada discloses a photodetector having at least one insulated through hole to which the "bottom" of the photodetector arrangement becomes the point of electrical contact through solder bumps with the outside world. Applicant states throughout the specification that this use of an insulated through hole allows the device to be more compact in the "z" direction. As Wada discloses this general inventive concept such is the case with Wada as well. The Wada reference may not be specific that the conductive material coated on the inside surface of the insulated hole is polysilicon but as polysilicon is a well known art recognized equivalent form of conductor material in the semiconductor art it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the conductive material (12) within the through hole of Wada with polysilicon. Note the conductive paths especially in Figure 1 on the "upper" surface of the substrate. Note that Figure 1 clearly shows the at least particial filling of the through holes. As to the arrangement of anodes and cathodes i.e. which one is on top this is

Art Unit: 2815

merely a obvious design criteria (rearrangement of parts) that does not present a patentable distinction over the prior art. As to the use of the photo-diodes or photo detectors in arrays, imaging systems etc. these are all well known uses for a photo-detector and as such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to used the element mad obvious above in such a manner as again these are merely conventional uses for photodectors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-1770.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker, can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS April 15, 2009 /Michael B. Shingleton/ Michael B Shingleton Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2815

M.J.J.B.Jkingly